

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7                   **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
8                   **AT SEATTLE**

9  
10                  VOLTAGE PICTURES, LLC,

11                  Plaintiff,

12                  v.

13                  DOES 1 - 78,

14                  Defendants.

15                  Case No. C13-0457MJP-RSL

16                  **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND**  
17                  **QUASHING SUBPOENAS**

18  
19                  This action was filed on March 13, 2013. It is one of forty-eight copyright  
20 infringement actions filed during a four month period by attorney Richard J. Symmes  
21 against approximately 2,323 individual Doe defendants. The seventy-eight defendants in  
22 this case are represented by IP addresses linked to on-line sharing of the movie  
23 "Maximum Conviction" between 7:53 am on December 4, 2012, and 10:01 pm on  
24 January 2, 2013. Although the evidence of internet activity shows that hours, if not days,  
25 separated each defendant's allegedly infringing conduct, plaintiff alleges that all seventy-  
eight defendants participated in a single "swarm" utilizing interactive peer-to-peer file  
transfer technology protocol called a BitTorrent to illegally copy and share "Maximum  
Conviction." Plaintiff affirmatively alleges that the "swarm" aspect of the file-sharing  
justifies joinder of these disparate defendants in a single lawsuit.

1           On March 26, 2013, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to initiate early  
2 discovery, including the issuance of subpoenas under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to internet  
3 service providers, in an attempt to identify each Doe defendant. No defendants have filed  
4 objections to the subpoenas. Despite the fact that more than six weeks have elapsed since  
5 discovery was authorized, there is no indication that any defendants have been served, nor  
6 has plaintiff amended its complaint to identify the Doe defendants.

7           All BitTorrent cases filed in the Western District of Washington have been  
8 referred to the undersigned for pretrial handling. As the full extent of this assignment has  
9 become clear, the Court admits to some concerns regarding both the appropriateness of  
10 joinder and the possibility that the judicial authority of the United States may be used to  
11 wrest improvident settlements from pro se litigants under threat of huge statutory  
12 penalties. The Court is not alone: other judicial officers in the Ninth Circuit are beset by  
13 the same concerns and have taken various paths to mitigate the potential for abuse. See,  
14 e.g., Ingenuity 13 LLC v. John Doe, No. 2:12-cv-9333-ODW(JCx) (C.D. Cal. May 6,  
15 2013); Voltage Pictures, LLC v. Does 1-12, No. 2:13-292-AA (D. Or. May 4, 2013).

16           Having reviewed the record in this and related cases as well as the relevant  
17 case law, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

18           1. Any and all subpoenas issued in the above-captioned matter are hereby  
19 QUASHED. Plaintiff shall immediately notify the subpoena recipients that they need not  
20 respond.

21           2. To the extent plaintiff has obtained identifying information regarding one or  
22 more Doe defendants, whether through the service provider, defendant, or another source,  
23 it shall not utilize that information in any way. If plaintiff has already contacted one or  
24 more of the defendants, it shall file under seal all correspondence or other written  
25 communications (including emails) sent to defendants and a summary of any oral  
26

1 communications. Plaintiff shall refrain from any further oral or written communications  
2 with defendants unless expressly approved by the Court in advance.

3       3. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, show cause why  
4 the above-captioned matter should not be dismissed as to all defendants other than Doe 1  
5 for improper joinder and/or pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to control its  
6 docket.

7       4. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, provide additional  
8 information regarding Voltage Pictures, LLC's ownership of the copyright at issue,  
9 including a copy of any and all transfer statements and/or work-for-hire agreements  
10 supporting a determination that Voltage Pictures, LLC has standing to pursue this action.

11 See Righthaven LLC v. Hoehn, No. 11-16751, 2013 WL 1908876 (9th Cir. May 9, 2013).

12       5. With the exception of the actions specifically set forth in this Order, the above-  
13 captioned matter is hereby STAYED.

14

15           The Clerk of Court is directed to place this Order to Show Cause on the  
16 Court's calendar for Friday, May 24, 2013.

17

18           DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2013.

19

20           

21           Robert S. Lasnik  
22           United States District Judge